UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF NEW YORK

STEPHEN GRAY, individually and on behalf of all others similarly situated, Plaintiff, Civil Action No: 07 Civ. 9790 (SHS) ν. CITIGROUP INC., CHARLES PRINCE, THE PLANS ADMINISTRATIVE COMMITTEE OF CITIGROUP INC., THE 401(k) INVESTMENT COMMITTEE, and JOHN DOES 1 - 20, Defendants. SHAUN ROSE, Individually and On Behalf of All Others Similarly Situated, Plaintiff, Civil Action No: 07 Civ. 10294 ٧. CITIGROUP INC., CHARLES PRINCE, THE PLANS ADMINISTRATIVE COMMITTEE OF CITIGROUP INC., THE 401(k) INVESTMENT COMMITTEE, and JOHN DOES 1 - 10, Defendants. MEREDITH TRANBERG, individually and on behalf of all others similarly situated: Civil Action No: 07 Civ. 10341 Plaintiff, ٧, CITIGROUP INC., CHARLES PRINCE, THE PLANS ADMINISTRATIVE COMMITTEE OF CITIGROUP INC., THE 401(k) INVESTMENT COMMITTEE, and JOHN DOES 1 - 20, Defendants.

ANTON RAPPOLD, individually and on behalf of all others similarly situated,

Plaintiff.

Civil Action No: 07 Civ. 10396

٧..

CITIGROUP INC., CITIBANK, N.A., CHARLES PRINCE, THE PLANS ADMINISTRATIVE COMMITTEE OF CITIGROUP INC., THE 401(k) INVESTMENT COMMITTEE, and JOHN: and JANE DOES 1 - 10,

Defendants.

SAMIER TADROS, on Behalf of All Others Similarly Situated,

Plaintiff.

Civil Action No: 07 Civ. 10442

v.

CITIGROUP INC., CHARLES O. PRINCE, C. MICHAEL ARMSTRONG, ALAIN J.P. BELDA, GEORGE DAVID, KENNETH T. DERR, JOHN M. DEUTCH, : ROBERTO HERNANDEZ RAMIREZ, ANN DIBBLE JORDAN, KLAUS KLEINFELD, ANDREW N. LIVERIS, ANNE MULCAHY, RICHARD D. PARSONS, JUDITH RODIN, ROBERT E.: RUBIN, ROBERT E. RUBIN, FRANKLIN: A. THOMAS, JOHN DOES 1-20 (BEING **CURRENT AND FORMER MEMBERS** OF THE PLANS ADMINISTRATIVE COMMITTEE OF CITIGROUP INC.) and JOHN DOES 21-40 (BEING **CURRENT AND FORMER MEMBERS** OF THE INVESTMENT COMMITTEE OF THE CITIGROUP INC. 401(K) PLAN),:

Defendants.

INVESTMENT COMMITTEE, and

Defendants.

JOHN DOES 1 - 20,

STEPHAN FIORINO, individually and on : behalf of all others similarly situated, Plaintiff, Civil Action No: 07 Civ. 10458 ٧. CITIGROUP INC., CITIBANK N.A., CHARLES PRINCE, THE PLANS ADMINISTRATIVE COMMITTEE OF CITIGROUP INC., THE 401(k) INVESTMENT COMMITTEE, and JOHN DOES 1 - 20, Defendants. JAMES BOLLA, individually and on behalf: of all others similarly situated, Plaintiff, Civil Action No: 07 Civ. 10461 v. CITIGROUP INC., CITIBANK N.A., CHARLES PRINCE, THE PLANS ADMINISTRATIVE COMMITTEE OF CITIGROUP INC., THE 401(k)

MARK GEROULO, individually, on behalf:

of the CITIGROUP 401(k) Plan, the CITIBUILDER 401 (K) PLAN FOR PUERTO RICO, and all others similarly,

Plaintiff,

V.

CITIGROUP, INC., CITIBANK, N.A., THE PLAN ADMINISTRATIVE COMMITTEE OF CITIGROUP, INC., MICHAEL E. SCHLEIN, JOHN DOES 1-10, THE CITIGROUP 401(k) PLAN INVESTMENT COMMITTEE and JOHN DOES 10-20, C. MICHAEL ARMSTRONG, ALAN J.P. BELDA, GEORGE DAVID, KENNETH T. DERR, JOHN M. DEUTCH, ROBERTO HERNANDEZ, ANN DIBBLE JORDAN, ANDREW N. LIVERIS, DUDLEY C. MECUM, ANNE M. MULCAHY, RICHARD D. PARSONS, ANDRALL E. PEARSON, CHARLES PRINCE, JUDITH: RODIN, ROBERT E. RUBIN, FRANKLIN: A. THOMAS, SANFORD I. WEILL,

Defendants.

Civil Action No: 07 Civ. 10472

ALAN STEVENS, on Behalf of Himself and All Others Similarly Situated,

Plaintiff.

CITIGROUP INC., CITIBANK, N.A, CHARLES PRINCE, C. MICHAEL ARMSTRONG, ALAIN J.P. BELDA, GEORGE DAVID, KENNETH T. DERR, JOHN M. DEUTCH, PETER JOHNSON, ROBERTO HERNANDEZ RAMIREZ, ANDREW N. LIVERIS, ANNE MULCAHEY, RICHARD D. PARSONS, JUDITH RODIN, ROBERT E. RUBIN, ROBERT L. RYAN, FRANKLIN A. THOMAS, THE PLANS ADMINISTRATION COMMITTEE OF CITIGROUP, INC., THE INVESTMENT COMMITTEE and JOHN DOES 1-30,

Defendants.

STEPHEN GOLDSTEIN, on Behalf of Himself and a Class of Persons Similarly Situated,

Plaintiff,

v.

٧.

CITIGROUP INC., THE PLANS ADMINISTRATION COMMITTEE OF CITIGROUP, INC., MICHAEL E. SCHLEIN, CHARLES PRINCE, C. MICHAEL ARMSTRONG, ALAIN J.P. BELDA, GEORGE DAVID, KENNETH T.: DERR, JOHN M. DEUTCH, ROBERTO HERNANDEZ RAMIREZ, ANDREW N. LIVERIS, ANNE MULCAHEY, RICHARD D. PARSONS, JUDITH RODIN, ROBERT E. RUBIN, ROBERT L.: RYAN, AND FRANKLIN A. THOMAS, And JOHN DOES 1-30,

Defendants.

Civil Action No: 07 Civ. 11156

Civil Action No: 07 Civ. 11158

CHRIS SOUTHARD, on Behalf of All

Others Similarly Situated,

Plaintiff,

Civil Action No: 07 Civ. 11164

v.

CITIGROUP INC., CHARLES O. PRINCE, C. MICHAEL ARMSTRONG, ALAIN J.P. BELDA, GEORGE DAVID, KENNETH T. DERR, JOHN M. DEUTCH,: ROBERTO HERNANDEZ RAMIREZ, ANN DIBBLE JORDAN, KLAUS KLEINFELD, ANDREW N. LIVERIS, ANNE MULCAHY, RICHARD D. PARSONS, JUDITH RODIN, ROBERT E. : RUBIN, ROBERT E. RUBIN, FRANKLIN: A. THOMAS, JOHN DOES 1-20 (BEING **CURRENT AND FORMER MEMBERS** OF THE PLANS ADMINISTRATIVE COMMITTEE OF CITIGROUP INC.) and JOHN DOES 21-40 (BEING **CURRENT AND FORMER MEMBERS** OF THE INVESTMENT COMMITTEE OF THE CITIGROUP INC. 401(K) PLAN),:

Defendants.

FRANCIA BRICK, individually and on Behalf of all others similarly situated,

Plaintiff,

٧.

CITIGROUP INC., CHARLES PRINCE, THE PLAN'S ADMINISTRATIVE COMMITTEE OF CITIGROUP, INC., THE 401(k) INVESTMENT COMMITTEE,: And JOHN DOES 1-10,

Defendants.

Civil Action No: 07 Civ. 11369

WILLIAM and PATRICIA WOODWARD,: individually and on Behalf of All Others Similarly Situated,

Plaintiffs,

V.

CITIGROUP INC., CHARLES PRINCE, ROBERT E. RUBIN, C. MICHAEL ARMSTRONG, ALAIN J. P. BELDA, GEORGE DAVID, KENNETH T. DERR, JOHN M. DEUTCH, ROBERTO HERNANDEZ RAMIREZ, ANDREW N. LIVERIS, ANN MULCAHEY, RICHARD: D. PARSONS, JUDITH RODIN, ROBERT: L. RYAN, FRANKLIN A. THOMAS, ANN: DIBBLE JORDAN, KLAUS KLEINFELD: AND DUDLEY C. MECUM, and JOHN

Defendants.

and JANE DOES 1-10,

Civil Action No: 07cv11207

SUPPLEMENTAL DECLARATION OF ROBERT A. IZARD

- I, Robert A. Izard, declare as follows:
 - 1. I am a Shareholder in the law firm Schatz Nobel Izard, P.C.
- 2. SNI has prevailed as lead counsel on a number of important Motions to Dismiss in directly analogous ERISA class actions:
 - In re AEP ERISA Litig., 327 F.Supp.2d 812 (S.D. Ohio 2004);
 - In re AOL Time Warner, Inc. Sec. and ERISA Litig., No. MDL 1500, 02 Civ. 8853 (SWK), 2005 WL 563166 (S.D.N.Y. Mar. 10, 2005)(Kram, J.);
 - In re Cardinal Health, Inc. ERISA Litig., 424 F.Supp.2d 1002 (S.D. Ohio 2006);
 - In re JDS Uniphase Corp. ERISA Litig., 36 Employee Benefits Cas. 1140 (N.D. Cal. 2005);
 - In re Merck & Co. Inc., Sec., Derivative & "ERISA" Litig., 39 Employee Benefits Cas. 1053 (D.N.J 2006);
 - In re Reliant Energy ERISA Litig., 336 F. Supp. 2d 646 (S.D.Tex. 2004);
 - In re Sprint Corp. ERISA Litig., 388 F.Supp.2d 1207 (D.Kan. 2004);
 - In re Tyco International, Ltd., Sec. Litig., No. MDL 02-1335-PB, 02-1357-PB, 2004 WL 2903889 (D.N.H. Dec. 2, 2004);
 - Vivien v. Worldcom, Inc., No. C 02-01329 (WHA), 2002 WL 31640557 (N.D.Cal.
 Jul. 26, 2002).

SNI has similarly prevailed as lead counsel on a number of contested Motions for Class Certification in ERISA company stock cases which, as this Court's ruling in *Fisher* v. J.P. Morgan, Chase & Co., 230 F.R.D. 370 (S.D.N.Y. 2005) demonstrates, can be difficult to obtain:

• Furstenau v. AT&T, et al., No. 02-5409, slip op. (D.N.J. Sep. 2, 2004);

- In re Reliant Energy ERISA Litig., No. Civ. A. H-02-2051, 2005 WL 2000707 (S.D. Tex. Aug. 18, 2005);
- In re Tyco International, Ltd., Sec. Litig., No. MD-02-1335-PB, 2006 WL 2349338 (D.N.H. Aug. 15, 2006).

Some of these opinions established ground-breaking principles. For example, in 2002, long before counsel for the Gray Plaintiffs entered this practice area, SNI successfully argued in Vivien v. Worldcom, Inc., No. C 02-01329 (WHA), 2002 WL 31640557, *7 (N.D. Cal. Jul. 26, 2002) that SEC filings incorporated by reference into Plan documents become fiduciary communications. Although not properly alleged in the Gray Plaintiffs Complaints, this is now black letter law of ERISA. In In re Tyco International, Ltd., Sec. Litig., No. MD-02-1335-PB, 2006 WL 2349338 *6 (D.N.H. Aug.

See, e.g., In re Enron Corp. Sec., Derivative & ERISA Litig., 284 F. Supp. 2d 511, 555-67, 657-62 (S.D. Tex. 2003); In re Worldcom, Inc. ERISA Litig., 263 F. Supp. 2d 745, 766 (S.D.N.Y. 2003); In re Sprint Corp. ERISA Litig., 388 F. Supp. 2d 1207, 1227 (D. Kan. 2004); In re CMS Energy ERISA Litig., 312 F. Supp. 2d 898, 915-16 (E.D. Mich. 2004); Rankin v. Rots, 278 F. Supp. 2d 853, 875-78 (E.D. Mich 2003); In re Ferro Corp., 422 F. Supp. 2d 850, 865 (N.D. Ohio 2006); In re Sears Roebuck & Co. ERISA Litig. No. 02 C 8324, 2004 WL 407007, at *6 (N.D. Ill. March 3, 2004); In re JDS Uniphase Corp. ERISA Litig., No. C 03-04743 CW (WWS), 2005 WL 1662131, at *12 (N.D. Cal. July 14, 2005); Gee v. UnumProvident Corp., No. 1:03-CV-147, MDL 1:03-MD-1552, 2005 WL 534873, at *16-17 (E.D. Tenn. Jan. 13, 2005); Pietrangelo v. NUI Corp., No. Civ. 04-3223(GEB), 2005 WL 1703200 at *6 (D.N.J. July 20, 2005); In re Honeywell Int'l ERISA Litig., No. Civ. 03-1214 (DRD), 2004 WL 3245931, at *9 (D.N.J. Sept. 14, 2004); In re Dynegy, Inc. ERISA Litig., 309 F. Supp. 2d 861 (S.D. Tex. 2004); In re Xerox ERISA Litig, 483 F. Supp. 2d 206, 218 (D. Conn. 2007); Pedraza v. Coca-Cola, Inc., 456 F. Supp. 2d 1262, 1279-81 (N.D. Ga. 2006); In re The Goodyear Tire & Rubber Co. ERISA Litig., 438 F. Supp. 2d 783, 795 (N.D. Ohio 2006); In re Cardinal Health, Inc. ERISA Litig., 424 F. Supp. 2d 1002, 1045 (S.D. Ohio 2006); In re AEP ERISA Litig., 327 F. Supp. 2d 812, 825 (S.D. Ohio 2004); In re General Motors ERISA Litig., No. 05-71085, 2007 WL 2463233, at *4 (E.D. Mich. Aug. 28, 2007); In re Schering-Plough Corp. ERISA Litig., No. 03-1204 (KSH), 2007 WL 2374989, at *6-7 (D. N.J. Aug. 15, 2007); In re Coca-Cola Enterprises Inc. ERISA Litig., No. 06-0953 (TWT), 2007 WL 1810211, at *12-13 (N.D. Ga. June 20, 2007); Cress v. Wilson, No. 06-2717 (JGK), 2007 WL 1686687, at *9 (S.D.N.Y. June 6, 2007); Shannahan v. Dynegy, Inc., No. 06-0160, 2006 WL 3227319, at *6 (S.D. Tex. Nov. 6, 2006); Hill v. The Tribune Co., No 06-0741, 2006 WL 2861016, at *19 (N.D. Ill. Sept. 29, 2006).

- 15, 2006), a district court for the first time applied the presumption of reliance from securities fraud cases in an ERISA company stock case. In In re Cardinal Health, Inc. ERISA Litig., 424 F. Supp. 2d 1002, 1042-1044 (S.D. Ohio 2006), a district court expressly held for the first time that the securities law loss causation principles under Dura Pharms., Inc. v. Brudo do not apply in a company stock case.
- Attached hereto as Exhibit A is a true and correct copy of Furstenau v. 3. AT&T, et al., No. 02-5409, slip op. (D.N.J. Sep. 2, 2004).
- Attached hereto as Exhibit B is a true and correct copy of the ERISA Sec. 4. 104(B)(4) document request sent to the Plans' Administration Committee on November 29, 2007. We have received a copy of the section 104 documents from Defendants.
- Attached hereto as Exhibit C is a true and correct copy of the *amicus* 5. curiae brief submitted by the Department of Labor in the Reliant Energy appeal.
- 6. Attached hereto as Exhibit D is a true and correct copy of final order approving the \$264,375,000 Enron settlement.
- 7. Attached hereto as Exhibit E is a true and correct copy of the [Proposed] Case Management Order No. 1, All Tracks, dated May 24, 2004, in *In re Mutual Funds* Investment Litigation, MDL 1586 (D. Md.).
- 8. Attached hereto as Exhibit F is a true and correct copy of the Pacer Docket for In re Mutual Funds Investment Litigation, MDL 1586 (D. Md.) (lead case 04-md-15861-CCB) (printed January 9, 2008), paperless order approving Case Management Order No. 1, docket entry 117.

9. Attached hereto as Exhibit G is a true and correct copy of the Pacer Docket for Salvato v. Zale Corp., et al., Index No. 3:06-CV-1124-D (N.D. Tex.) (printed on December 20, 2007).

Document 53

- 10. Attached hereto as Exhibit H is a true and correct copy of the Order dated July 27, 2006, in Salvato v. Zale Corp., et al., Index No. 3:06-CV-1124-D (N.D. Tex.).
- 11. Attached hereto as Exhibit I is a true and correct copy of the Opinion and Order dated January 9, 2004, in Peterson, et al., v. AT&T Co., CA No.: 99-4982-JLL-RJH (D.N.J.)
- Attached hereto as Exhibit J is a true and correct copy of the Pacer Docket 12. for Peterson, et al., v. AT&T Co, CA No.: 99-4982-JLL-RJH (D.N.J.) (printed December 20, 2007).
- Attached hereto as Exhibit k is a true and correct copy of the Complaint 13. filed in Pro v. Countrywide Financial Corporation, et al, Case No. cv 07-06252 (C.D.Cal.)

I declare under penalty of perjury that the foregoing is true and correct. Executed this 10th day of January, 2008.

Robert A. Izard